

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/528,989		03/20/2000	Jean Marie Vogel	9676-292	6000
20582	7590	06/18/2002			
PENNIE & EDMONDS LLP ·				EXAMINER	
1667 K STREET NW SUITE 1000				WELLS, LAUREN Q	
WASHING	TON, DC	20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1617	
				DATE MAILED: 06/18/2002	19

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•							
·	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)	_				
	09/528,989	VOGEL ET AL.					
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit					
TI MAN INC DATE And in construction in the	Lauren Q Wells	1617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Peri d for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a regent of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuent or the period of the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of thirt I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commi	unication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	March 2002 and 29 April 2	<u>002</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			nerits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims	r Ex parte Quayle, 1955 C.	J. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
4) Claim(s) 1-51 is/are pending in the application	on.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-51 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) he hold in abovence. See 37 CER 1.85(s)							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		ge				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) The translation of the foreign language point 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes 	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-15					

Application/Control Number: 09/528,989

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-51 are pending. The Amendment filed March 25, 2002, Paper No. 17, amended claims 1, 21, and 47.

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-20, drawn to a composition, classified in class 424, subclass 422.
- II. Claims 21-46, drawn to a method, classified in class 600, subclass 29.
- III. Claim 47-51, drawn to a kit, classified in class 604, subclass 19.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process can be practiced with collagen, carbon particles, or Teflon.

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because any composition for injection into the GI or urinary tract can be placed in a kit

Application/Control Number: 09/528,989

Art Unit: 1617

comprising a composition and a needle. The subcombination has separate utility such as being injected with a separate needle or inserted following a small incision in the skin.

Inventions II and III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case, a kit is not needed for tissue bulking, and while a kit is needed to hold supplies, a method of tissue bulking is needed to treat urinary incontinence.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Claims 1-51 are generic to a plurality of disclosed patentably distinct species comprising injectable, tissue bulking compositions. In particular, the composition comprises a biocompatible carrier, a microsphere, and a cross-linker. Possible microspheres include sodium acrylate polymer, acrylamide polymer, sodium acrylate and vinyl alcohol copolymer, vinyl acetate and acrylic acid ester copolymer, vinyl acetate and methyl maleate copolymer, isobutylene-maleic anhydride crosslinked copolymer, starch-acrylonitrile graft copolymer, crosslinked sodium polyacrylate polymer, crosslinked polyethylene oxide. Possible crosslinking agents include tetraethylene glycol diacrylate, tetraethylene glycol dimethyacrylate, ethylene glycol dimethacrylate, methacrylate, and pentaerythritol dimethyacrylate. Possible biocompatible carriers include saline, PBS, alcohol solutions, acrylamino-e-propion-amido-3-triiodo-2,4,6-benzoic acid, sodium, potassium, calcium, magnesium, iron, zinc, and ammonium.

Application/Control Number: 09/528,989

Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species, even though this requirement is traversed.

Note: Applicant is respectfully requested to elect a single microsphere, a single crosslinking agent, and a single biocompatible carrier.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Beibing Gary Zhang on 6/6/02 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lauren Q Wells whose telephone number is (703) 305-1878. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F (6-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9307 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1234.

lqw June 6, 2002

FUSSEYL TRAVERS FRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200